## E. The Measurement of the Cost of Capital Input in the Commission's New Productivity Studies is Inconsistent with the Economic Definition of Competitive Cost of Capital and Must Not Be Utilized.

One of the most significant changes proposed in the new staff studies is its methodology for measuring the cost of capital input. This poorly conceived adjustment, which was not proposed in the 1997 performance review, completely ignores years of actual cost of capital determinations in favor of a proxy: shifts in Moody's Baa bonds. As indicated by both Professor Gollop and Dr. Taylor, this proxy does serve the Commission's objective to increase the X Factor by including a lower "competitive" cost of capital input.

Dr. James Vander Weide of Duke University reviewed the Commission's methodology. His findings are reported in Attachment 5. He concludes that the proposed methodology is inconsistent with the economic definition of the competitive market cost of capital because it only considers changes in the cost of debt. In order to comply with basic economic theory, changes in the cost of capital must also consider changes in the cost of equity and the market value percentages of debt and equity in a competitive firm's capital structure. The staff methodology fails to consider all the elements that constitute an appropriate estimate of the competitive market cost of capital.

Dr. Vander Weide characterizes the methodology as a "short-cut approach" that is based on faulty assumptions. It is utilized to produce another upward bias in the X-Factor. By focusing solely on changes in the cost of debt, the Commission is assuming that the cost of equity moves up and down by the same amount as the cost of debt and that the competitive capital market structure remains constant at its 1991 level. Dr. Vander Weide conducts three studies, an analysis of the cost of equity for the S&P 500 from 1991 to 1999, a regression analysis of the relationship between DCF cost of equity for the S&P 500 and the yield on

Moody's Baa-rated bonds from 1991 to 1999 and an analysis of the changes in the market value capital structures of both the S&P Industrials and the BOCs from 1991 to 1999 to demonstrate that these assumptions are false and do not reflect market experience. Contrary to the staff's assumptions, the cost of equity has not declined since 1991 and the percentage of equity in the capital structure has increased significantly. These studies indicate that changes in the market cost of equity cannot be directly linked to changes in the cost of debt. In fact while the cost of debt declined significantly over the staff's study period, the cost of equity remained constant and the percentage of equity in the market value capital structure of competitive firms increased significantly. Dr. Vander Weide also explains that the staff methodology incorrectly links changes in the cost of equity to changes in the yield on Moody's Baa-rated bonds.

Using a market based definition that is consistent with the definition of competitive cost of capital would dramatically change the cost of capital inputs in the proposed studies by increasing the cost of capital values used in the staff studies and reducing the staff's estimates of the X-Factor. There is no rational justification for the short cut approach taken by the staff other than to produce a higher X-Factor. The staff's cost of capital methodology must be rejected.

F. The 1997 Model as Updated by USTA in 1998 Should be Adopted by the Commission for the Historical Period Impacted by the Court Remand and Either the 1997 Model Updated by USTA in 1999 or the USTA TFP Model Should be Adopted for the Future.

As explained above, both the 1999 staff study and the Imputed X staff study violate basic economic principles and, in some cases, even repeat the same result-oriented approach to which the Court objected. The imputed X staff study in fact eviscerates price cap regulation and reimposes traditional cost plus regulation. Neither of these options is supportable. The 1997 TFP model, as updated by USTA in 1998, addresses the concerns of the Court and should be used to set the X for the historical period impacted by the Court remand. On a going-forward

basis, the 1997 TFP model, as updated by USTA in 1999, or the USTA TFPRP produce consistent results, reflect basic economic principles and will provide a measure of certainty and stability that has not been evident in the Commission's administration of price cap regulation.

The Court of Appeals reversed and remanded the Commission's choice of a 6 percent productivity factor and its decision to retain the 0.5 percent CPD. The Court cited three deficiencies in the Commission's rationale regarding the historical component of the X-Factor. The Court found that in selecting a point within the range of reasonableness, the Commission erred in placing less weight on the two lowest averages, given the fact that the X-Factor represents the difference between LEC and economy-wide productivity growth. The Court also found that the Commission's reliance on an upward trend and its unexplained assumption that the trend would continue for the immediate future was erroneous. The Court examined the data in the record and found that the alleged trend was, in reality, part of a cyclical pattern and that there was no evidence that the components of the X-Factor followed a trend. Finally, the Court noted that the uses of the AT&T estimates appear irrational.

The only reasonable opportunity proposed by the Commission to address these issues as ordered by the Court, is through the use of the 1997 TFP model, without the manipulation questioned by the Court for the historical period. USTA recommends that the Commission apply the 1997 TFP model consistent with the Court's decision by giving each average equal weight, acknowledging that the upward trend does not exist and ignoring the AT&T estimates for the period from July 1, 1997 through at least July 1, 2000. In response to the Commission's October 5, 1998 request that parties update the record, USTA replicated the 1997 TFP model and updated

the data through 1997 in its comments in CC Docket No. 96-262.<sup>21</sup> The results are included herein at Attachment 6.

Utilizing the 1997 model exactly as the staff designed it and adding data from 1996 and 1997, the X-Factor for 1996 and 1997 were 2.1 percent and 4.1 percent respectively. Professor Gollop showed that the results are completely consistent with the entire 1985 to 1995 ten-year average. In fact, using the 1997 TFP model, there is no period in which the X-Factor equals 6.5 percent. The Court could see that the Commission was forced to arbitrarily manipulate the model in order to produce an X-Factor of 6.5 percent. The 1997 TFP model if applied as originally designed by the staff, since 1991, when price cap regulation was implemented, produces an average X-Factor of 4.5 percent.

Averaging Period	USTA Update of 1997 Model (1996 and 1997)
1991 – 1995	5.0 %
1992 – 1996	4.2 %
1003 _ 1007	A A %

USTA also updated its TFPRP model with data from 1996 and 1997.<sup>22</sup> That update, as verified by Professor Gollop, based on the most recent five year moving average, which balances recent performance with the desirability of a stable X-Factor showed the following results:

Averaging Period	USTA TFPRP (1996 and 1997)
1991 – 1995	2.7 %
1992 – 1996	3.2 %
1993 – 1997	3.0 %

<sup>21</sup> USTA Comments, CC Docket Nos. 96-262, 94-1, 97-250, RM 9210, October 26, 1998, Attachment D.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> The USTA TFPRP model measures the growth in the demand actually experienced (output) minus the growth in resources actually used (inputs). The USTA TFPRP conforms to Commission standards and relies on data that are publicly available and verifiable.

Clearly, the 6.5 percent X-Factor cannot be supported for the historical period.

In that proceeding, USTA also demonstrated that price cap regulation has worked to reduce access charges since 1990.<sup>23</sup> USTA projected that rates will continue to decline each year, to approximately \$0.01 per minute of use by 2003. Flat rate charges will begin to decline this year. While some companies may seek to voluntarily accelerate that decline in access charges through the CALLS proposal, which would also make it unnecessary for the Commission to represcribe the X-Factor, the data demonstrates that increases in the X-Factor are not required to decrease access prices.

On a going forward basis, it would be prudent for the Commission to update the 1997 model to ensure that it is based on the most current data available. Professor Gollop performed such an update and USTA provided his results to the Commission.<sup>24</sup> Using 1998 data, the 1997 model produces as X-Factor of 3.03 percent. The average result is:

Averaging Period	USTA Update of 1997 Model (1998)
1991 1998	4.12%
1994 – 1998	4.06%

The Commission requests comment on an appropriate period or measurement that could be utilized as a reasonable indication of productivity growth. The Court clearly rejected the Commission's misguided reliance on a trend as well as its arbitrary exclusion of two estimates. It is a fact that productivity growth for the U.S. economy and its individual sectors will exhibit variability. 25 As the Court recognized, this variability should be accounted for by the fact that the X-Factor represents the difference between the U.S. economy and LEC performance. That is the reason that USTA proposed updating the X-Factor using a five year moving average of LEC

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> USTA Ex Parte Letter, CC Docket No. 94-1, September 10, 1999. See, Attachment 4 herein.

TFP growth with a two year lag.<sup>26</sup> The five year period with a two year lag would have more closely reflected the competitive markets that price cap regulation is supposed to replicate. It would have provided much-needed certainty. An examination of the averages provided above demonstrate the consistency which the five-year period produces under a TFP approach. The moving average resolves the recontracting problem described above by eliminating the uncertainty and the administrative burdens of the current performance review process. The moving average automatically ensures that customers share in any productivity gains realized by the price cap LECs. And, because the moving average will rise if, in fact, productivity growth increases, there is no need for the CPD.<sup>27</sup> The five-year moving average is consistent with USTA's TFPRP model, but could be incorporated into the Commission's 1997 TFP model.

The staff studies included in the FNPRM are so flawed that there is no period or measurement indicator to justify the adoption of either one.

### III. THE CONSUMER PRODUCTIVITY DIVIDEND SHOULD BE ELIMINATED.

As Dr. Taylor explains in Attachment 1, the Commission included a consumer productivity dividend (CPD) in 1991 to ensure that the first productivity gains of price cap regulation flow to consumers. After ten years, it has more than served its purpose and should be eliminated. In fact, it makes no sense to include the CPD now since adding the CPD to an historical X factor measured over a period that includes price cap regulation double counts productivity gains.

<sup>26</sup> USTA Ex Parte Letter, CC Docket No. 94-1, January 20, 1995. For example, the 1994 – 1998 average would be applied in July, 2000.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> See, Jorgenson, Gollop and Fraumeni, Productivity and U.S. Economic Growth.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> In the alternative, USTA proposed that the Commission phase down the CPD over a two-year period as the rolling average is established.

In 1996, the Court acknowledged that the Commission provided no specific reason for retaining the CPD or for setting the figure at 0.5 percent.<sup>28</sup> The Court excused the Commission's failure at that time reasoning that the Commission was only preserving, on an interim basis, its original methodology for estimating the X-Factor.

In 1997, however, the Commission attempted to devise a new rationale to support the CPD. It decided that the CPD should be retained to offset the elimination of sharing. The Court criticized this decision because the Commission was unable to tie the CPD to a specific productivity increase that could be expected from the elimination of sharing. Again, continuing to include a CPD effectively double-counts the benefits of the elimination of sharing and defeats the original purpose of eliminating sharing in the first place. Consumers have already partly benefited from the increasing efficiency resulting from the elimination of sharing.

Further, the Court's opinion leaves no room for the Commission to attempt to justify any reinitialization of the CPD. The no-sharing rule was not even created until May 1997 and was not implemented until July 1997. The price cap LECs cannot respond to an incentive before it exists. The CPD cannot be justified and should simply be eliminated.

# IV. THE COMMISSION SHOULD NOT INCLUDE ANY GROWTH FACTORS IN THE PRICE CAP FORMULA AND SHOULD RECOGNIZE AND INCORPORATE CHANGES THAT WILL DECREASE THE X FACTOR SUCH AS REPLACING PER MINUTE CHARGES WITH FLAT RATE CHARGES.

In its FNPRM released August 27, 1999, the Commission proposed to include a "q" factor in the traffic-sensitive price cap index (PCI) formula if it adopted a capacity-based local switching rate structure.<sup>29</sup> The Commission also proposed to increase the "g/2" factor in the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> Bell Atlantic v. FCC, 79 F.3d 1195 (D.C. Cir. 1996).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> Access Charge Reform, Fifth Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, CC Docket No. 96-262, FCC 99-206 (rel. Aug. 27, 1999).

common line formula. As USTA explained in its comments, both proposals are ill advised and unnecessary.<sup>30</sup>

Given the fact that every carrier opposed the adoption of a capacity-based local switching rate structure, the "q" factor should be rejected as well. The "q" factor proposal is based on an unsupported assumption that minutes have grown faster than trunks. The Commission provided no empirical data to support its assumption. This is another example of the Commission's attempts to "recontract" the price cap plan because of a perception that LECs earnings were too high. If adopted, it will destroy the incentives of price cap regulation as well as its future credibility. In an attachment to USTA's comments submitted October 29, 1999 and as reiterated above, Dr. Taylor has explained that it is economically incorrect to conclude that price cap LECs are earning above-normal profits based on the use of accounting data on an interstate only basis. Dr. Taylor also explained that there is no reasonable basis to conclude that price cap LECs enjoyed a windfall as a result of alleged errors in the traffic sensitive rate structure because neither the level nor the growth in price cap LEC interstate or intrastate earnings is out of the range observed in unregulated competitive markets. Professor Gollop confirmed Dr. Taylor's analysis in USTA's reply comments submitted November 29, 1999. Professor Gollop exposed the alleged windfall as a "phantom", disputed the inferences that the X-Factor is insensitive to growth in local switching minutes and to changes in LEC capacity utilization. Further, both Dr. Taylor and Professor Gollop explained that the X-Factor already reflects the recovery of fixed costs on a minute of use basis during the historical period as well as the future. In fact, they agreed that the "q" factor would necessarily result in double counting productivity gains.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> It is surprising that the Commission seeks to inject these proposals in this proceeding, given that the rationale used in the local switching proceeding for adopting these factors was to better match changing local switching revenues to NTS recovery of costs, yet here the 1999 staff study proposes to raise the X-Factor by using local DEMs as a measure of outputs even though local DEMs bear no relation to revenues.

However, if the Commission persists in this ill-advised course of action, the impact of adopting the "q" factor will be to lower the X-Factor. Since productivity growth equals output growth minus input growth, changing a component of output to a slower growing measure lowers measured productivity growth. Professor Gollop demonstrated that the 1998 X-Factor would be reduced from 3.03 percent to 1.74 percent and that the most recent five year average would decrease from 4.06 percent to 3.20 percent.

Dr. Taylor also pointed out that the "g/2" factor should already have been eliminated under a TFP model since the TFP model already incorporates any such effect. Since most price cap LECs have already eliminated the CCL charge, the "g/2 is no longer relevant. Finally, Dr. Taylor explained that it is incorrect to attribute all growth to the IXCs. Increasing long distance consumption is due to decreasing access prices. There is no justification for the "g/2". It should be completely eliminated.

USTA has shown that the reason that productivity growth is slowing down is based on changing market fundamentals, including the consequences of access reform and the end of the downward trend in LEC employment during the early price cap years.<sup>31</sup> Professor Gollop estimated that the declines in LEC labor employment that were a major contributor to the increase in measured LEC productivity through 1995 slowed in 1996 and ended in 1997. This trend reversal caused the X-Factors in 1996 and 1997 to decrease by 0.43 and 1.04 percentage points respectively from what they otherwise would have been. The past LEC downsizing will not help boost productivity in the future. The rate restructuring adopted by the Commission under access reform reduced the X-Factor by 0.1 to 0.4 for 1998 and later years. These impacts should be reflected in the X-Factor.

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> USTA Reply Comments, CC Docket No. 96-262, November 9, 1998 at Attachment D and USTA Ex Parte Filing, CC Docket Nos. 96-262 and 94-1, April 14, 1999.

#### V. CONCLUSION.

The staff studies are unnecessary given the Court's decision and are so fatally flawed as to defy reason. They represent a dramatic change in the price cap "contract" which undermines current and any future incentives, which are precisely what make price cap regulation beneficial. The Court decision demands that the Commission adopt the 1997 TFP model as presented by USTA for the period covered by the remand and either continue to use that model as updated by USTA or the USTA TFPRP on a going forward basis. At a time when the Commission is required by law to transition to competition, the Commission must not penalize price cap LECs for their efficiency gains that replicate the competitive market.

Respectfully submitted,

UNITED STATES TELECOM ASSOCIATION

Ву:(

Its Attorneys:

Lawrence E. Sarjeant Linda L. Kent Keith Townsend John W. Hunter Julie E. Rones

1401 H Street, NW, Suite 600 Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 326-7248

January 7, 2000

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, Robyn L.J. Davis, do certify that on January 7, 2000 Comments of the United States Telecom Association were either hand-delivered, or deposited in the U.S. Mail, first-class, postage prepaid to the persons on the attached service list.

Robyn L. Davi

Elizabeth Dickerson MCI Telecommunications Corp. 1801 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20006 Marc C. Rosenblum Robert J. McKee Peter H. Jacoby Albert M. Lewis AT&T 295 North Maple Ave. - Room 2255F2 Basking Ridge, NJ 07920

Marc E. Manly AT&T 1722 Eye Street, NW Washington, DC 20006

Alan Ciamporcero Pacific Telesis 1275 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Suite 400 Washington, DC 20004 Michael J. Shortley, III Rochester Telephone Corporation 180 South Clinton Avenue Rochester, NY 14646 R. Michael Senkowski Jeffrey S. Linder Ilene T. Weinreich Wiley, Rein & Fielding (Tele-Communications Association) 1776 K Street, NW Washington, DC 20006

Jay C. Keithley Leon M. Kestenbaum H. Richard Juhnke Norina T. Moy Sprint Corporation 1850 M Street, NW - 11th Floor Washington, DC 20036 Genevieve Morelli Competitive Telecommunications Association 1140 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 220 Washington, DC 20036 Danny E. Adams
Jeffrey S. Linder
Wiley, Rein & Fielding
(Competitive Telecommunications
Association)
1776 K Street, NW
Washington, DC 20006

Jonathan E. Canis Swidler & Berlin (Intermedia Communications of Florida, Inc.) 3000 K Street, NW Suite 300 Washington, DC 20007 Robert M. Lynch Richard C. Hartgrove Thomas A. Pajda Southwestern Bell Telephone Co. One Bell Center Room 3520 St. Louis, MO 63101 Edward D. Young, III Sherry F. Bellamy Bell Atlantic 1310 North Court House Road 8th Floor Arlington, VA 22201

Michael E. Glover Edward D. Shakin Karen Zacharia Bell Atlantic 1310 North Court House Road 8th Floor Arlington, VA 22201 Dr. Lee L. Selwyn Dr. David J. Roddy Susan M. Gately Economics and Technology, Inc. One Washington Mall Boston, MA 02018 Scott C. Lundquist Sonia N. Jorge Economics and Technology, Inc. One Washington Mall Boston, MA 02018

James S. Blaszak Levine, Blaszak, Block & Boothby 1300 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 500 Washington, DC 20036 Brian R. Moir
Moir & Hardman
(International Communications
Association)
2000 L Street, NW
Suite 512
Washington, DC 20036

Andrew D. Lipman Russell M. Blau Swidler & Berlin, Chtd. (MFS Communications Co., Inc.) 3000 K Street, NW Washington, DC 20007

John C. Smith Aeronautical Radio, Inc. 2551 Riva Road Annapolis, MD 21401 Margot Smiley Humphrey Koteen & Naftalin (National Rural Telecom Assn.) 1150 Connecticut Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20036 Carol C. Henderson American Library Association 110 Maryland Avenue, NE Washington, DC 20002 J. Manning Lee Teleport Communications Group, Inc. One Teleport Drive Staten Island, NY 10311 Charles A. Zielinski
Rogers & Wells
(Computer & Communications
Industry Association)
607 14th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20005

Allan J. Arlow Computer & Communications Industry Association 666 11th Street, NW Washington, DC 20001

Anthony M. Alessi Ameritech 1401 H Street, NW Suite 1020 Washington, DC 20005 Gary M. Epstein James H. Barker Latham & Watkins 1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Suite 1300 Washington, DC 20004 M. Robert Sutherland Richard M. Sbaratta 4300 Southern Bell Center 675 West Peachtree Street, NE Atlanta, GA 30375

James Gattuso
Beverly McKittrick
Citizens for a Sound Economy
Foundation
1250 H Stree,t NW
Washington, DC 20005

Dr. Jerome R. Ellig Center for Market Processes 4084 University Drive Suite 208 Fairfax, VA 22030

Richard McKenna, **HQE03J36** GTE P.O. Box 152092 Irving, TX 75015

Gail L. Polivy GTE 1850 M Street, NW Suite 1200 Washington, DC 20036 Tenley A. Carp General Service s Administration Office of General Counsel Washington, DC 20405 Robert A. Mazer Nixon, Hargrave, Devans & Doyle One Thomas Circle, NW Suite 800 Washington, DC 20005

Susan M. Baldwin Patricia D. Kravtin Economics and Technology, Inc. One Washington Mall Boston, MA 02018 David R. Poe Cherie R. Kiser LeBoeuf, Lamb, Greene & MacRae 1875 Connecticut Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20009 James T. Hannon U S WEST Communications, Inc. 1020 19th Street, NW Suite 700 Washington, DC 20036

Blaine Gilles WilTel, Inc. P.O. Box 21348 Tulsa, OK 74121 Peter A. Rohrbach Linda L. Oliver Hogan & Hartson Columbia Square 555 13th Street, NW Washington, DC 20004 Henry M. Rivera Ginsburg, Feldman and Bress, Chartered 1250 Connecticut Avenue, nW Washington, DC 20036

OPASTCO 21 Dupont Circle, NW Suite 700 Washington, DC 20036 Alan J. Gardner Jeffrey Sinsheimer California Cable Television Association 4341 Piedmont Avenue Oakland, CA 94611 Frank W. Lloyd Kecia Boney Mintz, Levin, Cohn, Ferris, Glovsky and Popeo, P.C. 701 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Suite 900 Washington, DC 20004 David C. Bergmann Yvonne T. Ranft Office of the Consumers' Counsel State of Ohio 77 South High Street 15th Floor Columbus, OH 43266 Anne U. MacClintock Southern New England Telephone Company 227 Church Street New Haven, CT 06510 Barbara Stonebraker Cincinnati Bell 201 E. 4th Street Suite #102-300 Cincinnati, OH 45201

International Transcription Service 2100 M Street, NW Suite 140 Washington, DC 20036 Joseph S. Paykel Media Access Project 1707 L St., NW Suite 400 Washington, DC 20036 Paula Eller Yukon Telephone Company Inc. P.O. Box 873809 1290 Peck St. Wasilla, Alaska 99687-3809

Robert D. Williams Oregon Farmers Mutual Tele. Co. 118 E. Nodaway Oregon, Missouri 64473-0227 Ward W. Wueste GTE Service Corp. 1850 M St., NW Suite 1200 Washington, DC 20036

F. Stephen Lamb TCA, Inc. 1465 Kelly Johnson Blvd. - Suite 200 Colorado Springs, CO 80920

Alliance for Public Technology 901 15th St., NW Washington, DC 20005

David J. Newburger American Assn. for Adult & Continuing Educations... One Metropolitan Square Suite 2400 St. Louis, MO 63102

Joe D. Edge Puerto Rico Telephone Co. Drinker Biddle & Reath 901 15th St., NW Suite 900 Washington, DC 20005

Stephen G. Kraskin Kraskin & Lesse (Illuminet) 2120 L St., NW Suite 520 Washington, DC 20037

Information Industry Assn. 1625 Massachusetts Ave., NW Suite 700 Washington, DC 20036 Robert L. Goggarth PCIA 500 Montgomery St. Suite 700 Alexandria, VA 23314-1561

Christopher W. Savage Centennial Cellular Corp. COLE, RAYWID & BRAVERMAN, L.L.P. 1919 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Suite 200 Washington, DC 20006

Benjamin H. Dickens, Jr. The Western Alliance Blooston, Mordkofsky, Jackson & Dickens 2120 L St., NW Washington, DC 20037

John J. List Rural Telephone Finance Cooperative 2201 Cooperative Way Herndon, VA 20171

James A. Burg South Dakota PUC State Capitol Pierre, SD 57501-5070

Northern Arkansas Telephone Co., Inc 301 E. Main St. Flippin, AR 72634

Fred Seigneur SONETECH, Inc. 109 Kale Ave. Sterling, VA 20164 Curtis T. White Allied Communications Group, Inc. 4201 Connecticut Ave., NW Washington, DC 20008

Laurie Pappas Texas PUC P.O. Box 12397 Austin, TX 78711-2397

Margot Humphrey TDS Telecomm. Corp. KOTEEN & NAFTALIN, L.L.P. 1150 Connecticut Ave., NW Suite 1000 Washington, DC 20036

David A. Irwin ITCs 1730 Rhode Island Ave., NW Suite 200 Washington, DC 20036

Thomas K. Crowe Northern Mariana Island 2300 M St., NW Suite 800 Washington, DC 20037

Richard J. Johnson Minnesota Independent Coalition 4800 Norwest Center 90 South 7th St. Minneapolis, MN 55402-4129

Anne MacClintock SNET 227 Church St. New Haven, CT 06510 Brian R. Moir International Communications Assn. Moir & Hardman 2000 L St., NW Suite 512 Washington, DC 20036-4907

Teleport Communications Group Inc. Two Teleport Drive Staten Island, NY 10311

Glenn B. Manishin SpectraNet International, Inc. Blumemfeld & Cohen - Technology Law Group 1615 M St., NW Suite 700 Washington, DC 20036

George Petrutsas Roseville Telephone Co. Fletcher, Heald & Hildreth, P.L.C. 11th Floor, 1300 N. 17th St. Rosslyn, VA 22209

Mary Newmeyer Alabama PSC P.O. Box 991 Montgomery, AL 36101

Jeffrey F. Beck Evans Telephone Co. & Others Beck & Ackerman Four Embarcadero Center Suite 760 San Francisco, CA 94111

Carolyn C. Hill ALLTEL Telephone Services Corp. 655 15th St., NW Suite 220 Washington, DC 20005 Kent Larsen Cathey, Hutton & Assn. 2711 LBJ Freeway Suite 560 Dallas, TX 75234

Dana Frix ACC Long Distance Corp. Swidler & Berlin, Chartered 3000 K St., NW Washington, DC 20007

Ronald L. Plesser Commercial Internet Exchange Assn. Piper & Marbury L.L.P. 1200 19th St., NW Suite 700 Washington, DC 20036

Marie Guillory NTCA 4121 Wilson Blvd 10<sup>th</sup> Floor Arlington, VA 22203-1801

Robert B. McKenna Jeffry A. Brueggeman U S West, Inc. 1020 19th St., NW - Suite 700 Washington, DC 20036

Christopher J. Wilson Cincinnati Bell Telephone Co. Frost & Jacobs LLP 2500 PNC Center 201 E. 5th St. Cincinnati, OH 45202

David C. Bergmann Ohio Consumers, Counsel 77 S. High St. 15th Floor Columbus, OH 43266-0550 Diana Smith
The Independent Telephone & Telecomm. Alliance
ALLTEL Corp. Services, Inc.
655 15th Street, NW
Suite 220
Washington, DC 20005

Kathleen Q. Abernathy AirTouch Comm., Inc. 1818 N St., NW Washington, DC 20036

Margot S. Humphrey The Rural Telephone Coalition NRTA 1150 Connecticut Ave., NW Suite 1000 Washington, DC 20036

OPASTCO 21 Dupont Circle, NW Suite 700 Washington, DC 20036

Clint Frederick Frederick & Warinner, L.L.C. 10901 West 84th Terrace Suite 101 Lenexa, KS 66214

Kathy L. Shobert General Comm., Inc. 901 15th St., NW Suite 900 Washington, DC 20005

Steve T. Nourse Ohio PUC Public Utilities Section 180 E. Broad St. Columbus, OH 432153793 Norman Myers Ozarks Technical Community College P.O. Box 5958 Springfield, MO 65801

Lawrence D. Crocker, III District of Columbia PSC 717 14th St., NW Washington, DC 20005

Timothy R. Graham WinStar Comm., Inc. 1146 19th St., NW Washington, DC 20036

Wayne V. Black American Petroleum Institute Keller & Heckman LLP 1001 G St., NW Suite 500 West Washington, DC 20001

Richard M Tettelbaum Citizens Utilities Co. Suite 500 1400 16th St., NW Washington, DC 20036

M. Robert Sutherland BellSouth Corp. Suite 1700 1155 Peachtree St., NE Atlanta, GA 30309-3610

Roger Hamilton Oregon PUC 550 Capitol St. NE Salem, OR 97310-1380 James Brennan NYSERNET, Inc. Rensselaer Technology Park Troy, NY 12180-7698

John Staurulakis, Inc. Telecommunications Consultants 6315 Seabrook Rd.. Seabrook, MD 20706

Michael J. Shortley III Frontier 180 South Clinton Avenue Rochester, NY 14646

Russell M. Blau Swidler & Berlin, Chartered (Teleco Communications Group, Inc.) (Focal Comms and Hyperion Teleccomms.) 3000 K St., NW - Suite 300 Washington, DC 20007

Gary M. Epstein BellSouth Corp. Latham & Watkins 1001 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Suite 1300 Washington, DC 2004

Jack Krumholtz Microsoft Corp. Suite 600 5335 Wisconsin Ave., NW Washington, DC 20006

Wayne Leighton Citizens for a Sound Economy Foundation 1250 H St., NW Suite 700 Washington, DC 20005 Cynthia B. Miller Florida PSC 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Donna N. Lampert Mintz, Levin, Cohn, Ferris, Glovsky & Popec, P.C. 701 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Suite 900 Washington, DC 2000

Robert M. McDowell Helein & Associates, P.C. 8180 Greensboro Drive Suite 700 McLean, VA 22102

Rachel J. Rothstein Cable & Wireless, Inc. 8219 Leesburg Pike Vienna, VA 22182

Alan J. Gardner California Cable Television Assn. 4341 Piedmont Ave. Oakland, CA 94611

Thomas K. Crowe Excel Telecommunications, Inc. 2300 M St., NW Suite 800 Washington, DC 20037

Robert N. Kittel U.S. Department of Defense U.S. Army Litigation Center 901 N. Stuart St. Suite 713 Arlington, VA 22202-1837 Penny Baker Missouri PSC P.O. Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102

Michael S. Pabian Ameritech Rm. 4H82 2000 West Ameritech Center Dr. Hoffman Estates, IL 60196-1025

Danny E. Adams Kelley Drye & Warren LLP (Cable & Wireless) 1200 19th St., NW Suite 500 Washington, DC 20036

Peter Arth, Jr. State of California & PUC 505 Van Ness Ave. San Francisco, CA 94102

James Love Consumer Project on Technology P.O. Box 19367 Washington, DC 20036

Emily C. Hewitt General Services Administration 18th & F St., NW Room 4002 Washington, DC 20405

Albert H. Kramer Dickstein Shapiro Morin & Oshinsky (APCC) 2101 L St., NW Washington, DC 20037-1526 Michael T. Skrivan Harris, Skrivan & Assn., LLC 8801 South Yale Suite 220 Tulsa, OK 74137

Gigi B. Sohn Media Access Project 1707 L St., NW Suite 400 Washington, DC 20036

Charles D. Gray National Assn. of Regulatory Utility Commissioners 1201 Constitution Ave., Suite 1102 P.O. Box 684 Washington, DC 20044

Joanne S. Bochis National Exchange Carrier Assn., Inc. 100 South Jefferson Rd.. Whippany, NJ 07981

Jack D. Kelley KLP, Inc. d/b/a Call-America 1201 South Alma School Road - Suite 2000 Mesa, AZ 85210

Scott J. Rubin, Esq. Pennsylvania Internet Service Providers 3 Lost Creek Dr. Selinsgrove, PA 17870-9357

Michael J. Zpevak Thomas A. Pajda Southwestern Bell Telephone Co. One Bell Center-Room 3520 St. Louis, MO 63101 Bradley Stillman MCI Comm. Corp. 1801 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Washington, DC 20006

Daniel J. Weitzner Center for Democracy & Technology 1634 Eye St., NW Suite 1100 Washington, DC 20006

Daniel L. Brenner National Cable Television Assn., Inc. 1724 Massachusetts Ave., NW Washington, DC 20036

David S.J. Brown Newspaper Assn. of America 529 14th St., NW Suite 440 Washington, DC 20045

Toby-Lynn Voss Yavapai Telephone Exchange, Inc. P.O. Box 37917 Phoenix, AZ 85069

Robert M. Lynch Durward D. Dupre Southwestern Bell Telephone Co. One Bell Center-Room 3520 St. Louis, MO 63101

Leon M. Kestenbaum Sprint Corp. 1850 M St., NW 11th Floor Washington, DC 20036 Charles C. Hunter
Catherine M. Hannan
Hunter Communications Law Group
1620 I St., NW
Suite 701
Washington, DC 20006

Pat Wood, III Public Utility Commission of Texas P.O. Box 13326 Austin, TX 78711

Myra L. Karegianes Sarah A. Naumer Matthew Harvey Commerce Commission 160 N. LaSalle St. - Suite C-800 Chicago, IL 60601

Jonathan Askin Emily Wiliams ALTS 888-17th Street, NW Suite 900 Washington, DC 20006

Randall B. Lowe Tele-Communications, Inc. Piper & Marbury L.L.P. 1200 19th St., NW Washington, DC 20036

Mark C. Rosenblum AT&T Corp. Room 324G1 295 North Maple Ave. Basking Ridge, NJ 07920

Joseph Di Bella Bell Atlantic 1300 I St., NW Suite 400 West Washington, DC 20005 Christopher Klein Tennessee Regulatory Authority 460 James Robertson Parkway Nashville, TN 37243

Steve McLellan Washington Utilities & Transportation Commission 1300 S. Evergreen Park Dr. SW P.O. Box 47250 Olympia, WA 98504-7250

Richard J. Metzger Association for Local Telecomm. Services (ALTS) 888-17th Street, NW Suite 900 Washington, DC 20006

Brian Conboy Willkie Farr & Gallagher Three Lafayette Centre 1155 21st St., NW Washington, DC 20036

Maureen O. Helmer New York State DPS Three Empire State Plaza Albany, NY 12223-1350

Edward Shakin Bell Atlantic 1320 N. Court House Rd.. Eighth Floor Arlington, VA 22201

Nancy C. Woolf Pacific Bell and Nevada Bell 140 New Montgomery Street Room 1523 San Francisco, CA 94105 Martha S. Hogerty
The Group of State Consumer Advocates
P.O. Box 7800
Jefferson City, MO 65102

John Rother American Assn. of Retired Persons & Others 601 E. St., NW Washington, DC 20049

Robert A. Mazer Albert Shuldiner Vinson & Elkins 1455 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Washington, DC 20004-1008

Paul H. Kuzia Arch Communications Group, Inc. 1800 West Park Drive Suite 350 Westborough, MA 01581

Werner K. Hartenberger J.G. Harrington Laura H. Phillips Dow, Lohnes & Albertson, PLLC 1200 New Hampshire Ave., NW - Suite 800 Washington, DC 20036

Herbert E. Marks James M. Fink Squire, Sanders & Dempsey, L.L.P. 1201 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW P.O. Box 407 Washington, DC 20044

Peter A. Rohrbach
David L. Sieradzki
F. William LeBeau
Hogan & Hartson L.L.P.
555 13th St., NW
Washington, DC 20004-1109

Ronald J. Binz Competition Policy Institute 1156 15th St., NW Suite 310 Washington, DC 20005

Mark N. Cooper Consumer Federation America 1424 16th St., NW Suite 604 Washington, DC 20036

Riley M. Murphy Charles H. N. Kallenbach American Communications Services, Inc. 131 National Business Parkway Suite 100 Annapolis Junction, MD 20701

Kennard B. Woods Consumers' Utility Cousel Division Governor's Office of Consumer Affairs Two Martin Luther King, Jr. Dr. Plaza Level East - Suite 356 Atlanta, GA 30334-4600

Terri M. Lyndall Georgia Public Service Commission 244 Washington St., SW Atlanta, GA 30334-5701

Joel B. Shifman, Esq. Maine Public Utilities Commission 242 State House Station Augusta, ME 04333-0018

Catherine R. Sloan Richard L. Fruchterman, III Richard S. Whitt Worldcom, Inc. 1120 Connecticut Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20036 James U. Troup Steven J. Hamrick Arter & Hadden 1801 K Street, NW - Suite 400K Washington, DC 20006

Linda Nelson Florida Department of Management Services 4050 Esplanade Way Tallahassee, FL 32399

Jonathan Jacob Nadler Squire, Sanders & Dempsey 1201 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20044

Eugene Baldrate Cincinnati Bell 201 E. Fourth Street Room 102-910 Cincinnati, Ohh 45201

ITS 1231-20th Street, NW Washington, DC 20036

Debbie Goldman George Kohl CWA 501 Third Street, NW Washington, DC 20001

R. Michael Senkowski Gregory Vogt Kenneth J. Krisko Wiley, Rein & Fielding 1776 K Street, NW Washington, DC 20006 Wayne V. Black
C. Douglas Jarrett
Susan M. Hafeli
Keller and Heckman, LLP
1001 G Street, NW - Suite 500 West
Washington, DC 20001

Judy Sello AT&T 295 North Maple Avenue Basking Ridge, NJ 07920

Peter H. Jacoby AT&T 295 North Maple Avenue Room 3244J1 Basking Ridge, NJ 07920

Robert J. Aamoth Competitive Telecommunications 1301 K Street, NW Suite 1100 - East Tower 5 Washington, DC 20005

R. Gerard Salemme Daniel Gonzalez Nextlink Communications 1730 Rhode Island Ave, NW Suite 1000 Washington, DC 20036

Jere W. Glover S. Jenell Trigg Eric Menge US Small Business Administration 409 3<sup>rd</sup> St, SW - Suite 7800 Washington, DC 20416

Gene Kimmelman Consumers Union 1666 Connecticut Ave, NW Suite 310 Washington, DC 20009 Mitchell F. Brecher Fleischman & Walsh, LLP 1400 Sixteenth Street, NW Washington, DC 20036

Cathy Hotka National Retail Federation 325 7<sup>th</sup> St, NW Washington, DC 20004

Washington Utilities and Transportation Comm. Chandler Plaza Building 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive, SW Olympia, WA 98504

Rainier Cable Inc. 104 Washington Avenue N. P.O. Box 639 Eatonville, WA 98328

David A. Irwin Irwin, Campbell & Tannenwld, PC (Total Telecomms.) 1730 Rhode Island Avenue, NW - Suite 200 Washington, DC 20036

Andrew D. Lipman Tamar E. Finn Swidler Berlin Shereff Friedman, LLP (CTSI) 3000 K Street, NW - Suite 300 Washington, DC 20007

Herbert E. Marks Brian J. McHugh Squire, Sanders & Dempsey, LLP (State of Hawaii) 1201 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20044 Susan M. Eid Tina S. Pyle Margaret Sofio 1919 Pennsylvania Ave, NW Suite 610 Washington, DC 20006

J.M. Lewis
Enterprise Networking Technologies Users Assoc.
Dept J
PO Box 4755
Carol Stream, IL 60197-4755

Lawrence G. Malone NYDPS Three Empire State Plaza Albany, NY 12223

Susan M. Eid Richard A. Karre MediaOne Group, Inc. 1919 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Suite 610 Washington, DC 20006

Doug Dawson Competitive Comms. Group 6811 Kenilworth Avenue Suite 302 Riverdale, MD 20737

Micheal Wilson John Mapes State of Hawaii 250 South King Street Honolulu, HI 96813

Kenneth A. Kirley McLeodUSA Telecomms. Services 400 S. Highway 169 - No. 750 Minneapolis, MN 55426 Robert M. Halperin Crowell & Moring, LLP (State of Alaska) 1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20004

Michael J. Bradley Richard J. Johnson Moss & Barnett (Minnesota CLEC Consortium) 4800 Norwest Center - 90 South Seventh Street Minneapolis, MN 55402

Patrick Donovan Kemal Hawa Swidler Berlin Shereff Friedman, LLP (Allegiance Telecom.) 3000 K Street, NW - Suite 300 Washington, DC 20007

David Cosson Kraskin, Lesse & Cosson, LLP (Rural Independent Competitive Alliance) 2120 L Street, NW - Suite 520 Washington, DC 20037

Kent F. Heyman Scott A. Sarem Richard E. Heatter MGC Communications, Inc. 3301 N. Buffalo Drive Las Vegas, NV 89129

Christopher A. Holt CoreComm Limited 110- East 59<sup>th</sup> Sreet 26<sup>th</sup> Floor New York, NY 10022

Carol Ann Bischoff CompTel 1900 M Street, NW Suite 800 Washington, DC 20036 John W. Katz Office of the State of Alaska 444 North Capitol Street, NW Suite 336 Washington, DC 20001

Robet T. McCausland Mary C. Albert Allegiance Telecom. 1950 Stemmons Freeway Suite 3026 Dallas, TX 75207

William L. Fishman Swidler Berlin Shereff Friedman, LLP (RCN Telecom) 3000 K Street, NW Suite 300 Washington, DC 20007

Margot Smiley Humphrey Koteen & Naftalin, LLP (NRTA) 1150 Connecticut Avenue, NW - Suite 1000 Washington, DC 20036

Edward B. Krachmer Teligent 8065 Leesburg Pike Suite 400 Vienna, VA 22182

James L. Casserly
Ghita J. Harris-Newton
Mintz, Levin, Cohn, Ferris, Glovsky and Popeo, PC
(CoreComm)
701 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW - Suite 900
Washington, DC 20004

Robert J. Aamoth Joan M. Griffin Kelley Drye & Warren, LLP (CompTel) 1200-19th Street, NW - Suite 500 Washington, DC 20036 Laura H. Philips J.G. Harrington Dow, Lohnes & Albertson (Cox Comms.) 1200 New Hampshire Avenue, NW - Suite 800 Washington, DC 20036

ITS 1231-20th Street, NW Washington, DC 20036